



Shri Jai Kishan,
S/o Shri Dyal Chand,
866, Street No.5,
Shakti Nagar, Bathinda.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Head Master,
Govt. High School, Bandi,
Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Education Officer (SE),
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2331 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jai Kishan, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o Head Master, Govt. High School,
Bandi, Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda is present.

O R D E R

Shri Jai Kishan, appellant filed RTI application dated 27.01.2021 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Bandi, Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda. A reply was sent to him by the PIO vide letter no.1885 dated 25.02.2021 and the information sought by the appellant was denied U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. Then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.03.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 13.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him by the PIO.

The Commission has received a mail vide diary no. 17500 dated 09.08.2021, which is a copy of letter no. 1940 dated 30.07.2021 sent by the PIO-cum-Head Mistress, Govt. High School, Bandi, District Bathinda addressed to the appellant, Shri Jai Kishan.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through letter no. 1940 dated 30.07.2021 through which the information was sent to Shri Jai Kishan. The reply/information was sent to the appellant specifically para-wise. I am satisfied with the information sent to the appellant by the PIO and also agreed with the view taken by the PIO regarding the information which was denied U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia,
H.No. 60-35-P-376-1, Street No.8,
Maha Singh Nagar, PO Dhandri Kalan,
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2341 of 2021

Present:- Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, appellant is absent.
ASI Tarsem Lal-1689/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Police
Commissioner, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.03.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 17.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the information sought by the appellant cannot be provide to him U/s 8(1)(h) being under investigation. He further stated that the reply regarding this has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 23.08.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.649-D dated 23.08.2021. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the investigation regarding the information sought by the appellant is still pending before the ADCP, Zone-2, Ludhiana, so the information cannot be provided to the appellant at this stage U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI application.

So, the present appeal case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the SPIO that whenever the investigation will be completed in the concerned case, the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the appellant through registered post within 10 days from the completion of investigation and compliance report be sent to the Commission.

With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Smt. Sangita Rani,
H. No. 601, Sector 14 (West),
Chandigarh.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Khanna.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Khanna.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2355 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gian Chand is present on behalf of Smt. Sangita Rani, appellant.
ASI Amarjit Singh-415/Khanna is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Senior
Superintendent of Police, Khanna.

ORDER

Smt. Sangita Rani, appellant filed RTI application and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO, then she filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 25.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Shri Gian Chand is present on behalf of Smt. Sangita Rani, appellant and ASI Amarjit Singh-415/Khanna is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the information/reply has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.71723 dated 04.09.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.71723 dated 04.09.2021. I have gone through the said letter.

Shri Gian Chand, the representative of the appellant stated that the appellant has received the information/reply sent by the SPIO. He further requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has sent the information/reply to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.71723 dated 04.09.2021 and as per the submission of the representative of the appellant that the information has been received, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Ranjit Singh, S/o Shri Kuldeep Singh,
R/o Village Variana,
Tehsil & Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2368 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant is present.
S.I. Balwinder Kumar is present on behalf of SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.13-D dated 20.01.2021 and the information sought by the appellant was denied being under investigation. Then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.02.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 18.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the investigation regarding the information sought by the appellant is still pending before the ADCP-2, Commissionerate, Jalandhar, so the information cannot be provided to the appellant at this stage.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is copy of letter no. 12-A-1 dated 19.07.2021. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant sought information regarding application no. 555-DCP dated 27.01.2020, but the said information cannot be provided to the appellant as the investigation regarding the information is still pending before the ADCP-2, Commissionerate, Jalandhar.

So, the present appeal case is disposed of and closed with the direction to the SPIO that whenever the investigation will be completed in the concerned case, the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the appellant through registered post within 10 days from the completion of investigation and compliance report be sent to the Commission.

With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



(Regd.) Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate,
Civil Courts, Tehsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2372 of 2021

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, appellant is present
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate, appellant filed RTI application dated 21.11.2020 before the SPIO, O/o ADC (D), Ludhiana. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Ludhiana vide letter dated 27.11.2020. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.12.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 18.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 01.09.2021 diarized vide no. 19633, which is a copy of letter no. 8154 dated 11.08.2021 along with a copy of letter no.5697 dated 31.05.2021, copy of letter no.732 dated 29.01.2021 and copy of letter no.550 dated 21.01.2021.

I have gone through letter no. 8154 dated 11.08.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the information sought by the appellant falls within the jurisdiction of Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana and it does not come within the jurisdiction of Rural Department, so this information can be sought from the PIO, O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

As per the reply of the SPIO the appellant is advised to get the sought for information from the PIO, O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

A copy of the letter sent by the SPIO be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the order of the Commission.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Dated: 07.09.2021

Encl : Letter sent by the SPIO.



Shri Sandeep Pathak, Advocate,
Chamber No. 806, Lawyers Chambers,
3rd Floor, Distt. Courts,
Bathinda.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Punjab School Education Board,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 341 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sandeep Pathak, (Advocate), complainant/applicant is absent.
Ms. Veena Verma, Suptd., O/o Secretary, Punjab School Education Board,
Mohal is present.
Ms. Surekha Thakur, SPIO-cum-Asstt. State Project Director, O/o DGSE, Smart
Schools, Punjab is present.

O R D E R

Shri Sandeep Pathak, (Advocate), complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX SOFTWARE.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondents are present. Ms. Surekha Thakur, SPIO, O/o DGSE, Smart Schools, Punjab stated that the remaining information sought by the applicant has been sent to him through registered post vide letter no. 267446 dated 06.08.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, O/o DGSE, Punjab, which is a copy of letter no.267446 dated 06.08.2021 along with copies of information.

The Commission has received another mail sent by the SPIO, O/o Director, Education Department (SE), Punjab on 13.08.2021, diarized vide no. 18005 which is a copy of letter dated 11.08.2021 along with copy of letter dated 19.10.2020, copy of letter dated 24.07.2021 and copy of letter dated 01.08.2021.

I have gone through letter no.267446 dated 06.08.2021 and letter dated 11.08.2021 vide which the information was sent to the applicant.

As the respondents have resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 07.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Sohan Singh,
S/o Shri Sucha Singh,
Village Bara, P.O. Pathreri Jatta,
Tehsil & Distt. Roopnagar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Roopnagar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 395 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sohan Singh, complainant/applicant is absent .
Shri Gurmukh Singh, Suptd. along with Shri Ranjit Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Roopnagar.

O R D E R

Shri Sohan Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 22.01.2021 before the SPIO, O/o SDM, Roopnagar. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Roopnagar vide letter dated 01.02.2021.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondents are present on behalf of the SPIO.

The respondents stated that the sought for information has been sent to the complainant/applicant through registered post on 17.03.2021 and on 09.06.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 24.08.2021, diarized vide no.18887, which is a copy of letter no.277 dated 19.08.2021 along with a copy of letter dated 17.08.2021 and photocopies of postal receipts dated 17.03.2021 and on 09.06.2021.

The SPIO has sent the sought for information to the applicant through registered post on 17.03.2021 and on 09.06.2021 and a period of about three months has been passed, but the applicant has not sent any mail to the Commission regarding the information and neither he has attended the hearing for today. It means he is satisfied with the information sent to him by the SPIO. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Harbinder Singh, (M: 98881-45991),
R/o Village Kotla Khurd (Khushipur),
P.O. Kathunangal,
Tehsil & Distt. Amritsar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, Social Justice Empowerment
And Minorities Deptt., Punjab,
SCO No. 6, 7, Phase -1, Old DC Office Building,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director, Social Justice Empowerment
And Minorities Deptt., Punjab,
SCO No. 6, 7, Phase -1, Old DC Office Building,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 876 of 2020

Present:- Shri Harbinder Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Parminder Singh Gill, SPIO-cum-Dy. Director, O/o Social Justice &
Empowerment & Minorities, Punjab is present along with Shri Sarabjinder Singh,
the then SPIO-cum-Dy. Director.

O R D E R

Shri Harbinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.10.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Social Justice Empowerment Minorities Deptt., PB., Chandigarh.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondents are present and they stated that the sought for information has been provided to the appellant and he is satisfied with the information.

The respondents have submitted a copy of letter no.242740/2021 dated 06.09.2021 along with a copy of letter dated 06.09.2021 and a duly signed written submission dated 06.09.2021 executed by Shri Harbinder Singh, appellant. All the documents have been taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through letter no.242740/2021 dated 06.09.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with the information.

I have also gone through the duly signed written submission dated 06.09.2021 executed by Shri Harbinder Singh, appellant and it is submitted by him that the SPIO has provided him the requisite information and he is satisfied with the information. He further submitted in the submission that the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- has not been paid to him by the SPIO.

Appeal Case No. 876 of 2020

The respondents requested that as the information has been provided to the appellant, so the amount of compensation be waived off and they further assured the Commission that in future the information will be provided to the information seekers within the stipulated period.

So, at the request and assurance of the respondents, the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- awarded in favour of the appellant is hereby waived off.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant himself admitted through his written submission dated 06.09.2021 that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 07.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Vishal Kumar (Advocate),
Room No. 27, IIIrd Floor,
Main Building, Gate No. 4. New Bar Complex,
Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o State Election Commission, Punjab,
SCO 49, Sector 17-E, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Election Commission, Punjab,
SCO 49, Sector 17-E,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2758 of 2020

Present:- Shri Vishal Kumar (Advocate), appellant is absent.
Shri Gurmukh Singh, Personal Assistant, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Mohali is present.

O R D E R

Shri Vishal Kumar (Advocate), appellant filed RTI application dated 30.05.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o State Election Commission, SAS Nagar, Mohali, Punjab.

The appellant is absent today, whereas Shri Gurmukh Singh, Personal Assistant, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Mohali is personally present in the Commission.

The respondent stated that point-wise information/reply has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 27.08.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 27.08.2021, diarized vide no.19406, which is a copy of letter no.577-578 dated 27.08.2021 along with attested copies of information.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 30.05.2020 and also gone through letter no.577-578 dated 27.08.2021 and the copies of information. The SPIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant through this letter.

The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 27.08.2021. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Jatinder Singh, S/o Shri Surinder Singh,
R/o Village Rasulpur, PO Roopnagar,
Tehsil & Distt. Roopnagar.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Roopnagar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 294 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jatinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Gurmukh Singh, Suptd. along with Shri Swaran Singh, Panchayat Officer
is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Roopnagar.

ORDER

Shri Jatinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 10.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Roopnagar.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondents are personally present on behalf of the SPIO.

I have gone through the Order dated 26.08.2021 and both the parties were directed to personally come present before the Commission for today i.e. 07.09.2021.

Today Shri Jatinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent, whereas Shri Gurmukh Singh, Suptd. along with Shri Swaran Singh, Panchayat Officer are present and they stated that the information along with the demand draft bearing no.563319 dated 01.09.2021 amounting to Rs.2,000/- as compensation has been sent to the applicant through registered post on 01.09.2021, but the applicant has refused to accept the registered post. The respondents have shown the original postal letter to the Commission which was returned undelivered being refused to receive the registered letter. The report regarding this has been made by the postman. The original letter is seen and returned back to the respondents.

A mail has also been sent to the Commission by the PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, which is a copy of letter dated 01.09.2021 along with a copy of demand draft no.563319 dated 01.09.2021 amounting to Rs.2,000/- in the name of Shri Jatinder Singh, complainant.

It is evident from the above said facts that the applicant is not interested in getting the information, that is why he has refused to receive the registered post through which the information and compensation has been sent to him. The report regarding this has been made by the postman.

So, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**. IN case the applicant will approach the office of the PIO within a month, then the PIO would hand over the information and the demand draft of compensation of Rs.2,000/- to the applicant. IN case the applicant would not approach the PIO within 30 days then the demand draft be again deposited in the funds of public authority.

Dated: 07.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Jatinder Singh, S/o Shri Surinder Singh,
R/o Village Rasulpur, PO Roopnagar,
Tehsil & Distt. Roopnagar.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Roopnagar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 295 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jatinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Gurmukh Singh, Suptd. along with Shri Swaran Singh, Panchayat Officer
is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Roopnagar.

O R D E R

Shri Jatinder Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 10.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Roopnagar.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondents are personally present on behalf of the SPIO.

I have gone through the Order dated 26.08.2021 and both the parties were directed to personally come present before the Commission for today i.e. 07.09.2021.

Today Shri Jatinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent, whereas Shri Gurmukh Singh, Suptd. along with Shri Swaran Singh, Panchayat Officer are present and they stated that the information along with the demand draft bearing no.563321 dated 01.09.2021 amounting to Rs.2,000/- as compensation has been sent to the applicant through registered post on 01.09.2021, but the applicant has refused to accept the registered post. The respondents have shown the original postal letter to the Commission which was returned undelivered being refused to receive the registered letter. The report regarding this has been made by the postman. The original letter is seen and returned back to the respondents.

A mail has also been sent to the Commission by the PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, which is a copy of letter dated 01.09.2021 along with a copy of demand draft no.563321 dated 01.09.2021 amounting to Rs.2,000/- in the name of Shri Jatinder Singh, complainant.

It is evident from the above said facts that the applicant is not interested in getting the information, that is why he has refused to receive the registered post through which the information and compensation has been sent to him. The report regarding this has been made by the postman.

So, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**. IN case the applicant will approach the office of the PIO within a month, then the PIO would hand over the information and the demand draft of compensation of Rs.2,000/- to the applicant. IN case the applicant would not approach the PIO within 30 days then the demand draft be again deposited in the funds of public authority.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 07.09.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Mohammad Anwar (Ansari),
S/o Shri Mohammad Sadik,
R/o Ward No. 8, Ahmedgarh,
Distt. Sangrur.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Malerkotla-1,
Distt. Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 304 of 2021

Present:- Shri Mohammad Anwar (Ansari), complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Harmail Singh, Suptd. is present on behalf of Ms Rimpi Garg, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Malerkotla-1, Distt. Sangrur.

ORDER

Shri Mohammad Anwar (Ansari), complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 23.10.2020 before the Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is personally present in the Commission and he stated that the complainant/applicant has visited the office of the SPIO on 27.08.2021 and the sought for information was provided to him, but he was insisting that the record is not readable.

The respondent has come present along with original record. I have gone through the said record, which is in manual form and is readable.

The respondent has provided the requisite information to the applicant in the form in which the information existed in the office of the public authority. Hence the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 07.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab